

Child Psychoanalysis Committee Meeting

November 5, 2019

Minutes

Present: Gina Balli and Julie Wood (Co-chairs), Denise Fort, Don Schimmel, Stan Case, David Shaner, Ellika McGuire

- Gina called the meeting to order.
- September minutes review – Motion to approve: Passed
- Integration of committees updates:
 - a. Admissions – Diane Grise and Julie (just for admissions season). See #6.
 - b. Curriculum – Julie, David (Ann DeLancey) – Teaching preferences survey begins in January for 2020-2021.
 - c. Progression – Don, Diane, Julie, Sheri – no report.
 - d. TA – Stan – no report at this time.
 - e. Scientific Session – Stan – Child faculty presents in March 2020.
- Continue discussion of priorities and tasks
 - a. Outreach activities – Stan coordinated child treatment course for NPSI with SPSI faculty; Julie and Ellika presented on child analysis at UW Resident weekly psychotherapy meeting; Ellika is scheduled to present to Child Fellows at Children's next month.
 - b. P and P's and CA handbook – There are currently no Policy and Procedures for child analysis committee. Julie will follow up to inquire if we need them. Ellika reviewed the CA handbook for needed updates or edits and will follow up with Zan for changes.
 - c. Child supervisors/consultants – Gina consulted Sheri Butler on current requirements to be a Child Supervising Psychoanalyst. Discussion took the majority of the meeting, with the following statements and questions:
 - There is an urgent problem, SPSI has 2 child supervisors (Ken and Sheri), neither available. There is no one in the process of becoming a child supervisor.
 - There is no APsaA criteria for child supervisors since BOPS was sunsetted.
 - Currently SPSI requires certification by the American Board of Psychoanalysis, the certifying body.
 - ACPE (American Committee for Psychoanalytic Education), the accrediting body that accredits SPSI, also requires that Child Supervising Psychoanalysts be certified.
 - IPA does not recognize child psychoanalysts, hence no criteria.
 - It's important to pay attention to governing bodies so that a CA is at no disadvantage later in their career, for example, in seeking certification.
 - Are demands for certification self-defeating in cultivating child supervising analysts?
 - Waivers for our most experienced child analysts? – currently Don, Denise, Gina
 - What do we gain from being under ACPE? Given that it will be impossible to gain even one additional child supervising analyst in the next 5 years.
 - Since APsaA no longer accredits nor sets criteria for certification, could SPSI develop its own criteria for a child supervising analyst?
 - What does ACP say about this? Denise will follow up.
 - What does the APsaA DPE say about this? Stan will inquire with Sugarman and Schiller.
 - What do other child programs do? Are their supervisors certified?

- SPSI currently requires a local supervisor for first child control case, but the two we have are virtually unavailable.
 - Geographic rule supervisors are often not ideal: Cost is often prohibitive, institutes and analytic communities have different cultures, CA misses opportunities for local mentoring and establishing collegial network locally, time zones are difficult for scheduling, and distance supervisors often have a very limited sense of the CA and their case, thus they may provide less effective feedback to progression committee.
6. 2020 Cohort development – Julie reported that 3 CA's have expressed interest in beginning child training in Fall 2020. There has been more interest than usual among UW Residents and Child Fellows and APPP 2 year graduates. Admissions will host open house November 16th, 10-noon.
 7. Meeting adjourned at 9 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Julie Wood